Mutual Learning between Reviews and Ratings for Recommendation with Knowledge-based Neural Network
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Abstract In many current recommender systems, users’ reviews are used to boost the recommendation performance. As historical ratings and reviews are the two main feedbacks of users, the combination of these two behaviors is very important to understand why a user likes or dislikes an item. A general way of learning the correlation between reviews and ratings is to learn the distributions of them in the same latent semantic space, where each dimension is a set of features of items. However, there are only a few words related to items in reviews, the method may introduce noise and give wrong understanding. Besides, it is difficult to align heterogeneous data using the general way. In this paper, we introduce a knowledge graph to help focus on those reviews’ entities that are relevant with target items, and jointly model reviews and ratings from shared knowledge spaces.
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1 Introduction

Recently, the mutual learning between ratings and reviews for recommendation is researched by many works [5], [12], [4]. These works mainly focus on topic extracting from reviews [4], or using word embeddings to represent reviews [2]. They usually fuse ratings and reviews by defining transaction functions or using nonlinear neural networks. However, ratings and reviews are heterogeneous data. It is difficult to align their latent features using current methods. Thus, we fuse ratings and reviews in the same knowledge graph to solve the above problem. Besides, a knowledge graph can extract entities which related with items, and also can explore user potential preferences.

In this paper, we propose a framework named Rating Review Mutual Learning with Knowledge Graph (RMK) for the high-quality recommendation. There are three components in RMK, which are fusion knowledge graph construction, knowledge graph embedding model, and rating prediction model. The main contribution of this paper as follows:

• To the best of our knowledge, we are the first work to fuse ratings and reviews through map review entities and user-item ratings to the same knowledge graph.
• The proposed model RMK is an end-to-end framework and can learn two different tasks simultaneously to enhance the correlations between ratings and reviews.

2 Related Works

Some works have attempted to study the mutual learning between ratings and reviews for alleviating the cold-start problem in traditional recommender systems. They can be summarized into three types, topic-based methods, deep neural networks, and knowledge-based models.

2.1 Topic-based Works

The topic-based models are extensively used for dealing with user reviews in recommender systems. Through extracting latent topics or sentiments from reviews and aligning with the latent dimensions in ratings, the models could learn user preferences and item properties [1], [4], [7], [13], [14], [15]. In particular, McAuley et al. [4] used Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) to learn latent topics from reviews and defined a transformation function to link hidden factors and hidden topics (HFT) together. Ling et al. [13] adopted topic models on reviews and used a mixture of Gaussian to learn latent features from ratings (RMR). Tan et al. [7] proposed a rating-boosted framework (RBLT) to enhance the topic features with higher ratings. They used the topic distributions of the item to model the preference distribution of users who rated the item. However, reviews and ratings are heterogeneous data, which is difficult to align them through the linear transformation.

2.2 Deep Neural Networks

Deep neural networks have achieved great success on recommendation area. The most related works are
Martin Scorsese’s movie is close to the best American commercial cinema can get. The whole world is brought to our eyes. I am no fan of Leonardo DiCaprio, but he is at his best in this film.

Cold blooded murder. Kate Beckinsale stars as U.S. Marshall Carrie Stetko, who is dropped into a shocking mystery at a research station in Antarctica. Also starring are: Columbus Short, Gabriel Macht, Alex O’Loughlin and a personal favorite of mine, Tom Skerritt.

Figure 1 The knowledge graph creation of our approach. The process contains three parts. The first part includes user reviews, item reviews and their rating information. The bold words are knowledge entities extracted from reviews. The second part is the fusion graph of reviews and ratings, the light blue nodes represent review entities, and the white nodes represent users and items. The third part is the final graph, and the dark blue nodes are extended from initialize entities to one hop away.

those review-based recommender systems [1], [2], [5], [8], [9], [12], [16]. They usually used two strategies to connect ratings and reviews. The first is to simply concatenate the rating embedding vector and the review embedding vector together, and then used a nonlinear layer to predict the final rating [2], [8]. Specifically, Wu et al. [8] built user-item graph based rating information, and used hierarchical neural networks to learn review features and graph rating features, and then concatenated them together as the final user/item representation. The second is to use nonlinear neural network to model the relationships between ratings and reviews [2], [5], [12]. For example, Peng et al. [5] proposed a neural gated fusion network to combine the review encoded features and rating encoded features together as the final representations, and then fed them into Latent Factor Model (LFM) for rating prediction. However, the above works cannot provide explainable recommendation results, since they cannot distinguish the specific contributions of ratings and reviews.

2.3 Knowledge-based Models

In order to alleviate the sparsity and cold-start problem in Recommender Systems (RS), some auxiliary information are introduced to help to improve recommendation performance, such as social information [6], [18], item content information [19], reviews [17], and knowledge graph [11], [28], [20], [21], [22]. Knowledge graph is one of the widely used auxiliary information, which contains potential user preferences and items properties. Meanwhile, it has been confirmed that a knowledge graph can provide explainable recommendation results [11], [20]. The most direct way of using knowledge graph is to map items to knowledge entities [28] [11]. For example, Wang et al. [28] designed cross&compress units to model correlations between items and knowledge entities, and learned the potential properties of items in the knowledge graph. Another way is to identify entities from item contents like news topic [20], movie description [21]. Wang et al. [20] extracted knowledge entities from news topics, and learned news representation by using Knowledge Graph Embedding (KGE) method for news click prediction. Zhang et al. [21] modeled items from three knowledge aspects, structural knowledge, textual knowledge, and visual knowledge which extracted from item identifies, item summary, and item images, respectively. However, above works mainly modeled items properties based knowledge graph, and ignored the preference learning of users from knowledge spaces. To solve above problem, our work not only models item properties but also learns user preferences from a knowledge graph by extracting knowledge entities from user reviews.

3 Our Approach

In this section, we first explain how to build the knowledge graph by fusing ratings and reviews information. We then propose our RMK framework.

3.1 Fusion Knowledge Graph Construction

The process of fusion knowledge graph construction is illustrated in Figure 1. Given the user review documents and item review documents, we firstly extract knowledge entities from these documents by applying the entity linking method [23], [24]. Given user-item ratings, we regard users and items as nodes of the knowledge graph, and connect these nodes with entity nodes belonging to their reviews. In order to explore the potential user preferences and item prop-
3.2 RMK Framework

The framework of our model RMK is shown in Figure 2. Our model includes three parts, Recommendation Module, Mutual Learning Module (MLM), and Knowledge Graph Embedding (KGE) module. After building the knowledge graph, we learn the relations between users/items with their review entities and two-hop distance entities in KG through L layer MLM, and predict user $u$ rating $\hat{y}$ to item $v$. We learn entity embeddings by applying Knowledge Graph Embedding (KGE) [25] module. We adopt $L$ layers of KGE to learn entity embeddings, and select user embedding and item embeddings at each layer. Then, we use nonlinear neural networks to predict heads in KG based on their relation and tail. In summary, our framework is an end-to-end model with two mutual learning tasks. Besides, our model ensures that we run two tasks simultaneously.

3.3 Mutual Learning Module

Mutual Learning Module (MLM) is proposed for modeling the mutual relationship between the head entity $h$ and its neighbor entity set $N_h = \{h \langle 1 \rangle, h \langle 2 \rangle, \ldots, h \langle |N_h| \rangle \}$. The detailed design is shown in Figure 3.

In MLM, a $L$-layer linear projection model is used as the feature extractor. We denote $h^i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $h^j (i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as the embedding vectors of the head entity and its $i$-th neighbor entity in the $l$-th layer, respectively. We build a $d \times d$ pairwise interactions of $h^i$ and $h^j (i)$ as

$$
C' = h^i (h^j (i))^\top = \begin{bmatrix}
    h^1_1 h^i_1 (i) & \cdots & h^1_d h^i_1 (i) \\
    \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    h^d_1 h^i_d (i) & \cdots & h^d_d h^i_d (i)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)
$$

where $C' \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the cross feature matrix of $h^i$ and $h^j (i)$.
in the l-th layer. Then, we generate the representation vectors of the head entity and its i-th neighbor entity in the l+1 layer through mapping the C to the representation spaces as follows:

\[ h^{-1}(i) = C^l_w w_{H(i)}^l + (C^l_v)^T w_{H(i)}^l + b_{H(i)}^l, \]
\[ h^{i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} w_{H(i)}^{i+1} h^{i} + b_{H(i)}^{i+1}, \]

(2)

where the vectors \( w_{H(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( b_{H(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d \) denote the trainable projection weights and biases for the l-th layer, respectively. The symbol * represents the entity variable. The number \( w_{H(i)} \) represents the contribution of the i-th neighbor to h in the l+1 layer, and the vector \( b_{H(i)} \) represents the bias corresponding to the linear projection from the summed intermediate vector to the head entity in the l+1 layer. Note that \( h^{i+1} \) represents the intermediate vector corresponding to the head entity vector h with i-th component in the l+1 layer, which can be calculated as follows:

\[ h^{i+1} = C^l w_{H(i)}^l + (C^l_v)^T w_{H(i)}^l + b_{H(i)}. \]

(3)

By Eq.(1), Eq.(2) and Eq(3), our proposed MLM can capture the relationship between every pair of head entity and its every corresponding neighbor entity.

3.4 Recommendation Module

To specify the operations of extracting features from raw inputs in MLM, we formulate the extraction operations for user u and item v as:

\[ u^l = E_{N_u \sim S(u)} \left( C^l (u, N_u) [u_{L-1}] \right), \]
\[ v^l = E_{N_v \sim S(v)} \left( C^l (v, N_v) [v_{L-1}] \right), \]

(4)

where L is the layer of MLM, E is sample operation, \( N_u \) and \( N_v \) denote the neighbor entities for each user and item. \( S(u) \) and \( S(v) \) represent the corresponding neighbor set of user u and item v. The recommendation module is composed of a G-layer multi-layer perceptron (MLP). The final rating of the user u to item v, \( \hat{y}_{u,v} \), can be predicted by projecting the concatenated vector of \( u^l \) and \( v^l \) as:

\[ \hat{y}_{u,v} = F \left( \ldots F \left( F \left( u^l ; v^l \right) \right) \right), \]

(5)

where \( F(*) \) denotes the one-layer MLP projection.

3.5 Knowledge Graph Embedding Module

Knowledge Graph Embedding is to embed the KG triples into continuous vectors while preserving the structure of KG. Our KGE inherits the idea of transnational distance method [25]. In recommendation scenario, we mainly focus on the modeling of users and items, and our KGE module is not only used to learn one-hop distance entities but also two-hop distance entities of users and items. Specifically, for each h in KG, we use \( h \) to represent the embedding vector of it and sample a user and an item linked to it. The user embedding and item embedding are denoted as \( h_u \) and \( h_v \). The matrix of neighbors corresponding to h of u is represented as \( N_{u|h} \). The matrix of neighbors corresponding to h of v is represented as \( N_{v|h} \).

\[ N_{u|h} = E_{h_u \sim S(N_{h_u})} \left( C^l (h_u, N_{h_u}) [N_{h_u}^{L-1}] \right), \]
\[ N_{v|h} = E_{h_v \sim S(N_{h_v})} \left( C^l (h_v, N_{h_v}) [N_{h_v}^{L-1}] \right), \]

(6)

where L is the layer of MLM module, E is sample operation, \( S(N_{h_u}) \) is the user set of neighbor \( N_{h_u} \), \( S(N_{h_v}) \) is the item set of neighbor of \( N_{h_v} \).

\[ t_h = F \left( \ldots F \left( F \left( h_u \oplus h_v \right) \right) \right), \]

(7)

where \( t_h \) is the tail embedding vector of h, \( r_h \) is the relation embedding vector of relation r from head h, \( \hat{h} \) is the
In this paper, we propose RMK, an end-to-end framework that fuses ratings and reviews in the same knowledge graph, and explores the correlations between them by running KGE and rating prediction tasks simultaneously. Since ratings and

### 3.6 Objective Function

The objective function of RMK is:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{RS} + \mathcal{L}_{KGE} + \mathcal{L}_{REG}
\]

\[
= \sum_{u \in U, v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{J}(\hat{y}_{uv} - y_{uv}) - \lambda_1 \sum_{(h, r, t) \in \mathcal{G}} \text{score}(h, r, t) + \lambda_1 \sum_{(h', r, t') \in \mathcal{G}} \text{score}(h', r, t') + \lambda_2 \|W\|_2^2
\]

where \(\mathcal{L}_{RS}, \mathcal{L}_{KGE}\) and \(\mathcal{L}_{REG}\) are loss functions of recommendation module, knowledge graph embedding module, and regularization term, respectively. The symbol \(\mathcal{J}(\cdot)\) denotes the Mean Square Error (MSE) function. We use the \(\lambda_1\) as the learning rate parameter for balancing the RS task and KGE task. \(\lambda_2\) is the regularization parameter for the total objective function.

### 4 Experiments

In this section, we first describe the IMDb dataset used in the experiment. Then, we compare the performance of our proposed model, RMK, with baseline models.

#### 4.1 Datasets

We conducted experiments with one publicly accessible datasets: the IMDb dataset. Table 1 lists the statistics of IMDb. This movie rating dataset was published as part of Jointly Modeling Aspects, Ratings and Sentiments (JMARS) [14], which uncovered aspects and sentiments from reviews to predict movie ratings. We preprocessed the dataset to ensure that each user had at least two reviews. The range of ratings for IMDb is [0, 10].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>statistics</th>
<th>IMDb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># users</td>
<td>2,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># movies</td>
<td>4,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># reviews</td>
<td>126,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># entities</td>
<td>1,219,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># distinct entities</td>
<td>75,549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.2 Baselines

We compared the proposed method, RMK, with the following state-of-the-art methods.

- **Probabilistic Matrix Factorization (PMF).** This method models the latent factors for users and items while ignoring all the review texts [26]. By comparing RMK with PMF, we evaluated the effectiveness of review-based recommendation.

- **Generalized Matrix Factorization (GMF).** This method extends matrix factorization (MF) to a nonlinear model capturing the interaction between users and items. It learns a latent embedding from a user (item) identifier to represent a user (item) [27].

- **Multi-Pointer Co-Attention Networks for Recommendation (MPCN).** This is a review-by-review pointer-based model. It uses hard attention to extract important user and item reviews and subsequently matches them in a word-by-word fashion [17].

- **Multi-task feature learning approach for Knowledge graph enhanced Recommendation (MKR).** This method mapped item ids to knowledge entities and learned recommendation task and knowledge graph embedding task at the same time [28].

#### 4.3 Evaluation Metric

To evaluate the performances of RMK and baselines, we adopted the well-known root-mean-square error (RMSE), which has widely been used in rating prediction of recommendation. Given a ground truth rating \(y_{uv}\) and a predicted rating \(\hat{y}_{uv}\) for an interaction between user \(u\) and item \(v\), the RMSE is calculated as follows:

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{u,v} (\hat{y}_{uv} - y_{uv})^2},
\]

where \(N\) indicates the number of user ratings for movies.

#### 4.4 Experimental Result

The comparison results on IMDb datasets are shown in Table 2. The result shows that the proposed RMK outperforms other baseline models. The results of methods that only used rating information as features (PMF, GMF) are worse than those used reviews (MPCN, RMK). In addition, MKR and RMK with mutual learning design achieved the best performance. Our proposed method RMK not only used item entities but also considered review entities. The most important is we modeled the relations between entities and their neighbors, which is more effective than the MKR method.

### 5 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we propose RMK, an end-to-end framework that fuses ratings and reviews in the same knowledge graph, and explores the correlations between them by running KGE and rating prediction tasks simultaneously. Since ratings and
reviews are not independent but are connected by review entities and users/items, we treat users and items as nodes in the knowledge graph, just like review entity nodes. We design KGE task and rating prediction task and associate them based on the connections between users/items and entities. Furthermore, we learn the high-order interactions between ratings and reviews through L layer neural networks, and these two kinds of features can communicate at each layer.

For future work, we will conduct more experiments on other datasets, such as Amazon Movies and TV [11] to evaluate our proposed model. We also focus on improving the effectiveness of mutual learning and provide further analysis on the contributions of different entity neighbors.
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